

MAY NOW FLASH WORD TO COAST

(Continued from Page 1)

To the great Northwest, whose increasing trade relations with Hawaii render it of much importance to the territory industrially, the Star-Bulletin sent the following message through the Seattle Post-Intelligencer.

"Post-Intelligencer, Seattle, Wash. The Honolulu Star-Bulletin greets Seattle and your state by Federal Wireless Telegraph in the opening of day communication across the Pacific. A great feat has been accomplished by the Poulsen system, which will be heralded around the world. We congratulate the Federal Wireless Telegraph Company upon its success, and ourselves upon now unbroken wireless communication with you."

RILEY H. ALLEN,

Editor, Honolulu Star-Bulletin.

Chambers of Commerce Talk.
Two big commercial bodies, the San Francisco and the Honolulu Chamber of Commerce, exchanged greetings yesterday and today upon the opening of day wireless service.

M. H. Robbins, Jr., president of the San Francisco chamber, yesterday sent his message to Honolulu.

San Francisco, Nov. 17, 1912.
President Chamber of Commerce,
Honolulu.

We extend greetings on our closer relations through the means of the Federal Wireless Telegraph day service. We will always welcome any enterprise that will bring us in closer touch commercially and socially with the people of the islands.

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

By H. M. ROBBINS, JR.

Vice-President Frank C. Atherton of the Honolulu Chamber sent the following reply:

"Honolulu, Nov. 18, 1912.
M. H. Robbins, Jr.
President of the San Francisco
Chamber of Commerce.

We thank you for the message of greeting transmitted by the Federal Wireless Telegraph day service. This great achievement should prove a strong link in the chain that is steadily binding us closer to the mainland of our great nation, and is most heartily welcomed by us all.

F. C. ATHERTON,
Vice-President, Honolulu Chamber of
Commerce."

Mayor Fern this morning received a daylight wireless message from Mayor Ralph. It reads:

Honolulu, Nov. 18, 1912.

I am greatly pleased to learn of the constantly improving wireless telegraphic communication over the Federal Wireless system, between Honolulu and this coast. I am glad that the new Poulsen system is able to demonstrate today its ability to exchange wireless day messages, which I understand has been practically impossible hitherto, by any other wireless method. I am therefore availing myself of the invitation the Federal representatives have extended to me to send a message to you. I send you this city's greetings and my own personal regards and good wishes.

(Signed) JAMES ROLPH, JR.

Mayor.

To this Mayor Fern sent the following answer:

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor,
San Francisco, Cal.

Honolulu rejoices in its opportunity to send reciprocal greetings to the Mayor of San Francisco over the improved Federal wireless system, which has been placed at our service. This convenience is but another link in a chain which is ever binding us closer together. With my personal regards and assurances of my best wishes and esteem.

(Signed) J. J. FERN.

Mayor.

Governor Frear received congratulations from Charles C. Moore, President of the Panama Pacific Exposition, and replied in kind:

San Francisco, California,
November 17, 1912.

Governor Frear,
Honolulu.

Greetings and congratulations on fact that every advance of science brings our island friends closer to us.

CHARLES C. MOORE,
President, Panama Pacific Exposition.
Honolulu, November 17.

Charles C. Moore,
President of the Panama
Exposition, San Francisco.

Your kind greetings and congratulations by Federal Wireless Telegraph today are happily reciprocated. The exposition in 1915 will have many progressive achievements on the Pacific to celebrate in addition to the completion of the great canal.

FREAR,
Governor.

The Honolulu Iron Works congratulated Secretary Veeder in a message which also instructed its San Francisco agents to file all business for the Honolulu Iron Works with the Federal Company. The wireless dispatched by the Honolulu Iron Works through J. B. MacGarvey was as follows:

H. P. Veeder.

Federal Telegraph Company,
San Francisco.

We congratulate you upon the unprecedentedfeat of consummating wireless communication by day between San Francisco and Honolulu, and trust it will result to our mutual advantage as well as that of the people of Honolulu.

Will you kindly inform our San Francisco agents, Messrs. Theo. H. Davies & Co., 260 California street, that they may file all their business for us with your company?

Yours very truly,

Honolulu Iron Works Co.,
J. B. MACGARVEY.

Besides these messages several private business messages were interchanged, and the Advertiser exchanged greetings with Coast newspapers and the Secretary H. P. Veeder of the Federal Wireless Telegraph Company.

KONA JURY INDICTS SUPERVISOR-ELECT

Hilo, November 15.—The news comes from Kalua that last week Judge Matthewman's grand jury returned just one indictment, and that was against young Julian Yates, who was only a couple of weeks ago elected to represent the Kona districts on the board of supervisors during the coming two years.

The offense with which Yates is charged is a comparatively new one in the Territory, being covered by a statute passed in the last legislature, of which Yates was a member, which relates to the "protection of purchasers from fraudulent conveyances of personal property."

The matter grows out of the troubles of the firm of Yates and Whitmarsh which went into the hands of a receiver a few weeks ago, after it had been doing business in the automobile livery line for several years. Yates is alleged to have borrowed on a mortgage on the machines without notifying the mortgagors of the existence of a prior mortgage.

The real trouble is alleged to have started about September 16, 1911, when Yates is said to have given a mortgage for the amount of \$1200 to Mary K. Bertelman of Hilo, without the consent or knowledge of his partner.

As security for this loan he is alleged to have given all his interest in the partnership. On this loan the sum of about \$300 is, or was until recently due neither principal nor interest having been paid.

The statute under which Yates is indicted contains numerous sections relating to various forms of violations thereto.

Troubles between the partners, Yates and Whitmarsh, came to a head on October 22, when Whitmarsh, through his attorney, Claudius McBride, instituted suit against Yates before Judge Matthewman, asking for the dissolution of the partnership, that an accounting be ordered, that a receiver be appointed, and that an injunction issue against Yates preventing him from collecting further debts owing to the partnership. The prayer for the injunction was granted, as well as that for the appointment of a receiver.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates' attorney, Claudius McBride, instituted suit against Yates before Judge Matthewman, asking for the dissolution of the partnership, that an accounting be ordered, that a receiver be appointed, and that an injunction issue against Yates preventing him from collecting further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.

Yates, however, has been unable to collect further debts owing to the partnership.